# Article review

## Name of the reviewer:

The name of the reviewer will not be revealed to the authors of the article.

## Title of the article:

## Date of the review:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Overall publication value of the article | 5-best, 1-worst |
| Is the subject an important one? |  |
| Does the article possess scientific/practical/educational value? |  |
| Title | **5-best, 1-worst** |
| Is the title consistent with the problem actually presented? |  |
| Abstract | **5-best, 1-worst** |
| Is the abstract presented in structured form? |  |
| Does the abstract give an adequate picture of the entire article? |  |
| Introduction | **5-best, 1-worst** |
| Is the background of the study made clear and helpful to readers unfamiliar with the subject? |  |
| Is the purpose of the article clearly stated? |  |
| Materials and methods | **5-best, 1-worst** |
| Is the research design appropriate and the methods clearly explained? |  |
| Are the criteria for selecting the sample clearly explained and justified? |  |
| Are the essential characteristics of the sample adequately described? |  |
| Is the sample size adequate and representative? |  |
| Have the data been collected in a systematic and comprehensive manner? |  |
| Are there any ethical concerns about this study? |  |
| Results | **5-best, 1-worst** |
| Is the statistical methodology appropriate? |  |
| Is the analysis of the data systematic? |  |
| Are the results credible? |  |
| Are the results important? |  |
| Discussion | **5-best, 1-worst** |
| Is the interpretation of the results clearly presented and adequately supported by the evidence adduced? |  |
| Are other legitimate studies from the field adequately presented and compared to the results? |  |
| Conclusions | **5-best, 1-worst** |
| Are the conclusions logically valid and justified by the evidence adduced? |  |
| Figures and Tables | **5-best, 1-worst** |
| Are all the figures and tables adequate and necessary? |  |
| Are all the figures and tables readable? |  |
| References | **5-best, 1-worst** |
| Are the references up-to-date? |  |
| Have the most important previous studies been cited? |  |
| General opinion, comments and points of improvement |  |
| I recommend that following changes in the article should be made: |
| Overall recommendation |  |
| I recommend to: * accept the article as it is
* accept the article after indicated minor corrections
* accept the article after indicated thorough corrections
* reject the article
 |  |
| Signature of the reviewer |